

4. About location

The map shows the locations which could be adopted for building. Which, in your opinion, is the best location in the interest of the village Please refer to the map to use to Wyre Council I&O (issues and options) reference.

- a. I&O 22
- b. I&O 23
- c. I&O 24
- d. I&O 25
- e. I&O 26

	Strongly agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
a				✓
b				✓
c				✓
d				✓
e				✓

5. About Areas

Are there any particular areas that you would want to see protected from development? Give any general comments below

THERE SHOULD BE NO DEVELOPMENT WITHIN THE PARISH FUL STOP.

AND DEVELOPMENT WILL:

- 1) DAMAGE THE NATURAL ENVIRONMENT
- 2) INCREASE FOOD INSECURITY LOCALLY & NATIONALS BE DIMINISHING FARMLANDS OR POTENTIAL FARM LAND
- 3) INCREASE SURFACE WATER RUN OFF RESULTING IN INCREASED FLOODING DUE TO THE HIGH WATER TABLE ~~BEHIND~~ LOCALS.
- 4) INCREASE HYDROSTATIC PRESSURE UPON THE HIGH WATER TABLE RESULTING IN INCREASED FLOODING.
- 5) INCREASES INCREASE SEWAGE WHEN THE CURRENT PROVISION CAN'T COPE.
- 6) INCREASE THE CARBON FOOTPRINT OF THE VILLAGE

7) INCREASE COMMUTE TRAFFIC

8) ADVISELUS INCREASE PRESSURE UPON CIVIL AUTHORITIES FOR WHICH THERE IS CURRENTLY A LACK OF ADEQUATE PROVISION. DESPITE THESE AUTHORITIES HAVING BEEN PAID FOR BY THE TAX PAYER. IN SHORT TAXPAYERS ARE NOT RECEIVING THE SERVICE THEY HAVE PAID FOR FROM WBC'S PLANNING OFFICER. THERE IS A PRESUMPTION IN FAVOUR OF HOUSING APPROVAL AHEAD OF ANY OTHER NEED EG INFRASTRUCTURE AND THIS IS SIMILY A STALED SITUATION.

p 18053
c 18054
d 18052
e 18058

2. About Areas

Are there any particular areas that you would want to see protected from development? Give any general comments below

THESE ARE THE AREAS WHICH WOULD BE PROTECTED FROM DEVELOPMENT:

AND DEVELOPMENT WILL:

- 1) DAMAGE THE LOCAL ENVIRONMENT
- 2) INCREASE THE RISK OF FLOODING
- 3) INCREASE THE RISK OF POLLUTION
- 4) INCREASE THE RISK OF ACCIDENTS
- 5) INCREASE THE RISK OF LANDSLIDES
- 6) INCREASE THE RISK OF COLLAPSE
- 7) INCREASE THE RISK OF OVERHEATING
- 8) INCREASE THE RISK OF OVERLOADING
- 9) INCREASE THE RISK OF OVERSTRESSING
- 10) INCREASE THE RISK OF OVERUSE
- 11) INCREASE THE RISK OF OVEREXHAUSTION
- 12) INCREASE THE RISK OF OVERHEATING
- 13) INCREASE THE RISK OF OVERLOADING
- 14) INCREASE THE RISK OF OVERSTRESSING
- 15) INCREASE THE RISK OF OVERUSE

6. Roads and General Highway Safety

As a resident in the village would you support a change to the speed limits currently enforced? **NO**

6.1 Speeding in this area is unacceptable **THE FREQUENCIES OF SPEEDING?**

- Preston Road, Crossmoor
- Preston Road, Inskip
- Mill Lane, Inskip
- Preston Road, Carrs Green
- Anywhere else (please name the road here)

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
6.1				✓
a				✓
b				✓
c				✓
d				✓

Evaluation measures to tackle speeding:

6.2 The following measures should be considered:

- Speed cameras
- Use of community speed watch, using volunteers to record and report speeding
- Traffic calming measures e.g. Narrow Lanes/speed bumps/pedestrian crossings)
- Any other suggestions:

6.2				✓
a				✓
b				✓
c				✓

6.3 If you or a family member have any mobility issues or push a pram through the village, do you feel that there are problems due to narrow or obstructed footways or any other safety concerns.

If so, please tell us about them below. **IN A PREVIOUS LIFE I WAS RESPONSIBLE FOR MANAGING PARKING RESTRICTIONS**

ENFORCEMENT IN PRESTON AREA FOLLOWED BY LCC AREA NORTH & THEN SOUTH. IN MANY AREAS

SCENARIOS PARKING IS NEEDED TO ALLOW VEHICLE MOVEMENT ON THE HIGHWAY

INCONSIDERATE PARKING

WHAT MEASURES DO YOU THINK WE SHOULD CONSIDER TO ADDRESS THESE PROBLEMS? — INCONSIDERATE PARKING

WHERE THERE ARE NO ENFORCEABLE

PARALLEL RESTRICTIONS (NO SIGNS OR LINES) THEREFORE CAN ENFORCEMENT OFFICERS (LEGS)

AND THE POLICE DO NOT HAVE ANY POWERS TO SANCTION THE DRIVER OF THE VEHICLE(S).

AS A RESULT, INCONSIDERATE PARKING ISSUES ARE BEST ADDRESSED BY DISCUSSION BETWEEN

THE DRIVER & THE ABSENTEE RESIDENT.

HOWEVER, IF THE INCONSIDERATE PARKING CAN'T BE RESOLVED & AFFECTS AN AREA THEN RESIDENTS MAY WANT TO CONSIDER REQUESTING L.C.C. TO PROVIDE ENFORCEABLE RESTRICTIONS EG. RESIDENTS PARKING SCHEMES, FOR WHICH THERE IS USUALLY AN ANNUAL FEE PAYABLE BY THE RESIDENTS OF THE SCHEME OR DOUBLE OR TRIPLE UNDERLINED LANE RESTRICTIONS WHICH WILL RESTRICT PARKING FOR ALL ROAD USERS INCLUDING RESIDENTS.

THE EXCEPTIONS TO THERE BEING NO SIGNS & LAWS TO ENFORCE IS DAUNGENOUS OR OBSTRUCTIVE PARKING I.E. PARKING WITHOUT SIGNS OF A ROAD JUNCTION. DANGEROUS PARKINGS OBSTRUCTIVE PARKING ARE USUALLY POLICE MATTER NOT ENFORCEABLE BY CED'S.

BOWLING CLUB / YIP'S CAR PARK.

THE SIGN ON THIS CAR PARK STATES THAT IT IS PRIVATE AND FOR USE BY VEHICLES PARKING IN CONNECTION WITH THE BOWLING CLUB & YOUTH CLUB. FROM MEMOS, I BELIEVE THAT THE LAND UPON WHICH THE BOWLING CLUB YIP'S THE PAVL, TENNIS COURT & CAR PARK ARE LOCATED BELONG TO W.B.C. AND THAT THE PARISH COUNCIL BOB WAYS OF THE PRECEPT WANT THIS LAND FROM W.B.C. IF THIS IS THE CASE THEN I BELIEVE THAT:

- 1) THE RATE PAYER IS BEING CHARGED TWICE (DOUBLE TAXATION WHICH SHOULD BE AVOIDED) BECAUSE FIRSTLY THE PUBLIC OWN THE LAND THAT IT IS THEN RESTRICTED FROM ITSELF.
- 2) AS THE CAR PARK IS IN PUBLIC OWNERSHIP IT IS NOT "PRIVATE".
- 3) TO REDUCE ON STREET PARKING, THE SIGN SHOULD BE REMOVED & REPLACED BY A SIGN STATING THAT THIS AN' AVENUE'S CAR PARK FOR USE BY YIP'S RESIDENTS & THE BOWLING CLUB.
- 4) THE PARISH COUNCIL SHOULD DISCUSS THE DOUBLE TAXATION SITUATION WITH W.B.C. WITH THE AIM OF REMOVING THE SIGN.
- 5) THE PARISH COUNCIL SHOULD EXPLORE THE POSSIBILITY OF VISITING BOWLERS FROM OTHER BOWLING CLUBS PARKING ON THE ST. PETERS CHURCH CAR PARK SO AS TO AVOID CONGESTION BLOCKING NELSON GARDENS / MINOR ROAD.

8. Utilities

Although we have no direct influence over the services provided in Inskip with Sowerby, we would like you to complete the following sections as it may help us support you to access better services for our village

Mobile phone and broadband:

8.1 Mobile phone signal where I live is poor

8.2 Broadband speed available where I live is poor

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
8.1			✓	
8.2				✓

Sewage and drainage services provision:

We are totally aware of the problems with sewage that have been encountered in certain parts of the village and flooding generally but your comments would be helpful in the analysis of this survey.

8.3. Sewage/drainage is of a concern for me where I live

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
8.3			✓	

8.4 Please elaborate if you have any concerns

IN ADDITION TO THE SEWERAGE FLOWING INTO WATER COURSES AS A RESULT OF U.V.'S PIPES AND FACTORIES HAVING INSUFFICIENT CAPACITY RESULTING IN SEWAGE ISSUES IN THE AREA DERBY GREEN? I AM CONCERNED THAT THE PROPERTIES OPPOSITE CAIRNS GREEN COMMON ARE HAVE OLD SEPTIC TANKS WHICH ARE NOT SEALED AND LEACH RISK TO SEWERAGE INTO THE ENVIRONMENT WHEN LOCAL FLOODING OCCURS. HISTORICALLY, REPRESENTATIONS HAVE BEEN MADE BY AT LEAST ONE OF THE RESIDENTS OF THESE HOUSES TO ALLOW THEM TO DIG A DRAINAGE DITCH FROM THE WEST EDGE OF THE COMMON TO THE MAIN DRAINAGE DITCH WHICH I BELIEVE IS HIGHLY UNDESIRABLE. I BELIEVE THAT RESIDENTS OF THESE PROPERTIES SHOULD BE ENCOURAGED TO UPGRADE THESE SEPTIC TANKS TO MODERN STANDARDS TO PREVENT SEWERAGE INFILTRATING TO CAIRNS GREEN COMMON WHEN FLOODING OCCURS